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What are ‘Small Satellites’?

= f (Mass + Time + Cost + Utility)

Innovative use of the latest technologies
What are ‘Small Satellites’?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Mass</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>1000kg+</td>
<td>$300M+</td>
<td>10yrs+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>&gt;1000kg</td>
<td>$50M</td>
<td>3yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini</td>
<td>250kg</td>
<td>$35M</td>
<td>2yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micro</td>
<td>100kg</td>
<td>$15M</td>
<td>1-2yrs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nano</td>
<td>1-10kg</td>
<td>$5M</td>
<td>~1 yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pico</td>
<td>100gm</td>
<td>&gt; $100k</td>
<td>&gt;1yr</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Small satellites and technology?

By exploiting enormous commercial investments, we can now build highly capable small, low-cost and reliable satellites built using the latest COTS terrestrial technologies...

Changing the Economics of Space
Moore’s Law

Intel co-founder Gordon Moore observed that the number of transistors on a chip was increasing exponentially: doubling every two years – or 10 times every 6.5 years.

Electronics, Volume 38, Number 8, April 19, 1965
“Cramming more components onto integrated circuits”
Moore’s Law has held for 40 years

CPU transistor counts 1971-2008 & Moore’s Law

Curve shows ‘Moore’s Law’: transistor count doubling every two years.
Implications

Almost every measure of the capabilities of digital electronic devices is strongly linked to Moore's law:

processing speed, memory capacity… and the number of pixels in digital cameras
The enormous commercial market for industrial and consumer electronics has driven manufacturing processes for:

- high volume, density
- low unit cost
- high reliability

This has resulted in dramatically reduced component failure rates

COTS has become the new ‘Hi-Rel’
What are the questions?

Technology advances enables us to make satellite subsystems smaller and smaller...

Reduced mass beyond a certain point becomes largely irrelevant – whereas smaller **volumes** means that we run into limitations placed by the laws of physics...

- Very limited surface area for power generation
- Limited RF power available for bulk data transfer
- Limited propellant for orbit changing dV
- Limited aperture for instruments restrict resolution & s/n

This begins to restrict applications – a tiny satellite platform and a large instrument makes little sense
What has been the Surrey Experience?

How did it start?

What can mini / micro / nano-satellites do?

How did it become sustainable?

Where are the markets?

Where is it going…?
Space @ Surrey ... in the beginning

A hobby that turned into research ... and then into a business
1970 – 1985: 5 years tracking satellites and then built 2 microsatellites
How to continue...

UoSAT-1 funded from donations from industry, AMSAT, govt, volunteers (~£250k 1981)

UoSAT-2 funded by UoS (~£0.5M in 1984) - but could not repeat this investment

Surrey needed to establish a commercial company to attract & handle external funding to build satellites

SSTL formed in 1985

Wholly-owned by UoS

Objective: to fund academic research in small satellites at Surrey

“fast-forward” to 2010…
Space @ Surrey today….

Surrey Space Centre: formed in 1979 at the University of Surrey, pioneering microsatellites — now 100 academic researchers specialising in space engineering.

SSTL: commercial company spun out from the University in 1985 to exploit the fruits of SSC research

SSC+SSTL: achieving a synergy of academic research and commercial exploitation
Space at Surrey - research

Academic space research…
Looking over the horizon…

Antennas & RF systems
Astrodynamics
Autonomy in Space
Control systems
Embedded systems
Planetary Environments
Propulsion
Remote Sensing
Satellite systems
Signal Processing
Space Robotics

100 academic researchers
Multi-disciplinary
Systems-oriented
Harsh environments
Robotic Space Exploration

- Bio-inspired drill for planetary missions
- RDV and Docking/ Teleoperation, on-orbit servicing
- Mars Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV)
- ESA and PPARC funded ExoMars rover studies
- Micro-Rovers, traction control, tracked and legged vehicles
- Entry Descent and Landing systems (EDLS)
Space Vehicle Attitude Control

- CMGs for agility/stability
- Combined attitude control/energy storage
- Underactuated control
- EM levitation, magnetic bearings
- Optical laser ISL control
- Quad-rotor aerobot
- Solar sails/kites
- Electrostatic formation flying
- Lorentz force formation flying
Space Vehicle Orbit control

- Electro-thermal Resistojets (xenon, butane, nitrous oxide)
- Hybrids (hydrogen peroxide)
- PPT Thruster
  - Hollow Cathode Thruster
  - Helicon Double Layer Thruster
  - Field Emission Electric Thruster
  - Solar Thermal Thruster
  - Monopropellant Thruster
Space Remote Sensing

- Hyperspectral remote sensing instrument for vegetation stress monitoring
- low-cost, low-mass Infra-Red optical systems
- low-cost Ozone monitor, and analysis of its flight results
- Low cost Ozone and SO2 monitoring
- SAR feature extraction and materials classification from double reflections
- Bi/multi-static L/X SAR
- Intelligent on-board image processing for change detection
- Optical feature detection through image sequences
- topside ionospheric sounding concepts
- GPS Reflectometry for sea-state monitoring (bi-static SAR scattering)
Space Weather

- Miniature radiation monitors, environment and effects research
- The effects of the space radiation environment on modern COTS components
- 22 instruments flown on SSTL satellites over 28 years in LEO and MEO
- Characterising the MEO for Galileo
RF & OBDH & Nanosatellites

RF and Antenna Systems

- Novel Smart Antennas
- Active, Integrated Antennas
- RF/Microwave
- Power Amplifiers
- Nano/Pico-Satellite Comms.

On-Board Data Handling Systems

- Distributed computing for satellite clusters
- Reconfigurable System-on-a-Chip
- SPACEWIRE, Robust Architectures
- Optimisation of IEEE 802.11 for ISLs
- Wireless Sensor Networks
- Integrated Image Processing
Academic space training

Space Degree Courses at Surrey

- Space Technology & Planetary Exploration (BEng/MEng)
- Physics with Spacecraft Technology (BSc/MPhys)
- Aerospace Engineering (BEng/MEng)
- Space Technology & Planetary Exploration (MSc)
- Satellite Communications Engineering (MSc)
- Mobile & Satellite Comms. Engineering (MSc)
- Satellite Engineering (MSc)

Short Courses for Industry, KHTT Training, Outreach
SSTL – space business

SSTL, formed in 1985, employs 320 staff in the UK & USA. Primary shareholder since 2009 is EADS Astrium with Surrey University.

Application of small satellites to real needs… at affordable costs
Stimulating sustainable business opportunities
Since 1981….

- 34 Satellites launched
- >200 satellite-years on-orbit experience

100% mission success in last 10 years – all delivered on time & in budget
Question: if they are so small and low cost, then they cannot do anything useful…?

- Communications
- Technology Verification
- Earth Observation
- Space Science
- Navigation
- Military & Civil applications

Indeed, they can do some things that are not practical with large satellites!
LEO Communications

- Digital S&F ‘email’ comms to remote regions
- Early ‘internet’ (1990’s)
- Advanced DSP payloads
- Signal monitoring & analysis
- Single satellite provides global reach

French ESSIAM system
Technology Verification

USAF-STP FCT PICOSat

- Polymer batteries
- Ionospheric tomography
- Ultra-quiet platform
Mission detects broad-band emission from different types of lightning

Flight experiment of LANL’s new FPGA-based software radio for VHF/UHF spectrum monitoring

Launched on USAF ATLAS EELV
Cape Canaveral March 2007
Microsatellites & the Internet...

UoSAT-12: the first civil satellite to have an Internet address (1999)

UK-DMC: carrying a Cisco router demonstrated the power of microsatellites + internet

VMOC: an IP-based application for satellites, using an available IP-based infrastructure – first demonstrated using UK-DMC to USAF at VAFB in 2004
Space Weather

The effects of the space radiation environment on modern COTS components
To secure Europe’s Galileo navigation system

Built by SSTL in 30 months, $30M, launched on time; 660kg

Now in 5th year – exceeding its 2.5 years planned operational lifetime

Awarded 14 satellites for FOC with OHB (DL)
International co-operation

- Kazakhstan
- USA
- Nigeria*
- Turkey*
- Algeria*
- China
- Malaysia*
- Singapore
- Thailand
- Chile*
- Portugal
- S.Korea
- S. Africa
- Pakistan*

- Train engineers as nucleus of a space agency & industry
- Launch first national microsatellite & demonstrate its applications & utility
- Establish national space facilities & capabilities
- Create new space SMEs
- Six space agencies trained and at least 3 space SMEs
‘Constellations’ and ‘Swarms’ of small satellites enable an affordable capability to achieve:

- Rapid revisit – increased temporal resolution
- Contemporaneous data gathering – data merging
- Particularly for Earth Observation
The evolution of EO microsatellites

1980’s experimental research
- UoSAT-1
- UoSAT-2

1990’s experimental proof-of-concept
- UoSAT-5, UoSAT-12
- KiTSAT-1, KiTSAT-2, KiTSAT-3
- ThaiPhatt, FASat-B, TiungSAT-1, PoSAT-1
- Tsinghua-1, SunSAT-1

2000 demonstration
- BIRD
- PROBA
- LAPAN-TUBSAT

2005 operational
- DMC: Alsat-1, Beijing-1, BILSAT-1
- NigeriaSat-1, UK-DMC
- RapidEye (x5)
Disaster Monitoring Constellation

Novel International Collaboration – 6 countries

- Individual satellite ownership
- Collaborative operation
- Data sharing and exchange
- Daily imaging worldwide (600km swaths)
- National, disaster and commercial use

The whole is greater than the sum of the parts – global daily imaging
DMC: Large area imaging

One image strip taken by DMC
In comparison with multiple strips used in GOOGLE Earth

32m GSD
600 km imaging swath
4,000 km strip
LANDSAT image tiles
DMC – applications

Multispectral imagery at 32m GSD

Deforestation & Land Cover

Global Science, Climate change

Flooding, disaster response

Fires: prediction, tracking
DMC – applications

De-forestation

Mineral deposits
DMC in the International Charter

- International charter space and major disasters

- 2005-2008 DMC has:
  - responded to 93 activations
  - with 332 wide-area images

- Major campaigns in 2008:
  - Floods in Southern Africa
  - Earthquake in China
  - Cyclone in Myanmar
High Resolution Imaging

Small section of 3,000 km strip at 4-m GSD pan from Beijing-1 microsatellite
Data Fusion: simultaneous MS & PAN
High resolution UK TOPSAT

TopSat
2.8m GSD Pan
5.6m GSD 3-band
Multispectral (RGB)
Sustainability - DMC ‘Road-Map’

DMC 1: first generation with 32m GSD m/s & 600km wide swath (4m GSD pan on Beijing-1), in orbit since 2003

DMC 2: current generation providing 650 km ‘wide-swath’ 22m GSD m/s resolution, in orbit since mid-2009; 2.5m GSD pan for launch in 2010 (NigeriaSat-2)

DMC 3: next generation with ~1.5-metres GSD pan and 5m m/s

DMC 4: hyperspectral imaging

DMC 5: SAR to provide all-weather, day-&-night coverage
DMC – 2nd generation launched

29 July 2009 – successful launch of UK-DMC2 and Deimos-1

Urban development  agriculture & precision farming
Commercial exploitation of EO satellites in DMC
Returning revenues to DMC partners (~$3M pa)
Stimulating new space business
Five RapidEye satellites launched August 2008

First commercial EO constellation

MDA JENA SSTL

http://www.rapideye.de
NigeriaSat-2: high resolution DMC-2

launch in 2010

- 2.5m PAN
- 5m 4-band multispectral
- Medium-Res Imager, 32m 4-band multispectral 320km swath
- 7 year life
- Agile imaging modes
- 2T-bit onboard storage
- 200 Mbps X-band downlink
- 150,000 sq.km per day
DMC 4: Hyperspectral - CHRIS Instrument
High-resolution sub-1m EO mission

0.75-m GSD pan imagery with high speed downlink and 45deg fast slew off-pointing
Is it sustainable?

2008-9: “One Year, Seven Satellites”

2010: 5 further satellites to be launched:
- NigeriaSat-2 (2.5m, 5m, 32m) plus NX
- Russia Vniiem “Kanopus” (3 satellite platforms)
- Canadian surveillance of space mission (Sapphire)
- A rapid-pace 10 month mission
- EO satellite for Kazakhstan – working with ASTRIUM
- GEO & EO for Sri Lanka
- Galileo FOC – 14 navigation satellites/payloads with OHB for EC
So, are we following Moore’s Law?

**Early microsatellite EO missions**
- Poor location / timing
- Poor pointing control
- Necessitated the use or 2-D arrays, limited swath

**Later missions improved attitude control & used GPS positioning**
- Use of pushbroom arrays
- Wider swath

---

**Progression in Ground Sampling Distance for SSTL missions**

- Early GG-stabilised EO smallsats
- 3-axis stabilised high performance EO smallsats
GSD trend

Follows Moore’s Law (or better)
But it is not just GSD...

EO missions also drive data volume

*Two orders of magnitude improvement per decade*

- data return
- data storage

“Moore’s law of microsats”

---

**Surrey missions - payload data rate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data rate (Mbps)</th>
<th>0.0001</th>
<th>0.001</th>
<th>0.01</th>
<th>0.1</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Launch date</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Surrey missions - Data Storage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Storage (Mbyte)</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>10000</th>
<th>100000</th>
<th>1000000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Launch date</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Moore’s law is the key

Microsatellite mission data rates (Mbps) and data volumes (kbyte) generally tracking “Moore’s Law” (or better)...
What are the implications for nanosats?

A business has been shown for micro-minisats in the range of 50-300 kg.

But what about <10kg nanosats?
~100 universities worldwide now have nanosat projects of some sort
SNAP-1 nanosatellite mission 2000
SNAP-1 orbit manoeuvres

SSC 9 month project
cost €1M
What type of applications?

- In-orbit inspection – awareness
- Space debris removal
- Passive sensing – bi-static radar, signal analysis
- Spatial/temporal sampling of geoplasmas
- Robotic assembly in orbit of larger structures
Ocean monitoring...

**GPS reflectometry**

To measure ocean surface roughness (sea-state)

Subject of several SSC PhDs in collaboration with SSTL
Next Generation Space Telescope

Future space telescopes with aperture diameter of over 20 metres will require assembly in space.

High-precision formation flying has very high cost and may not be able to maintain stable alignment over long periods of time.

Autonomous assembly is a key enabler for lower cost approach to large telescopes.

Stage 1: secondary mirror deployment and initial imaging.

Stage 2: imaging with multiple mirrors.
Stage 3: Separation and re-docking of one mirror. Test and verification of reassembled mirror.
Mission Concept

Stage 4a: Undocking and separation of four satellites.
Mission Concept

Stage 4b: Docking in long baseline configuration – increased spatial resolution.
and beyond......

for small satellites......
MoonLITE: UK mission to Moon

A **polar orbiter** for communication, positioning plus orbital remote sensing

**Multiple micro-penetrators** for both far-side and near-side deployment and in-situ geophysics & geochemistry
19 universities from 10 European countries

SSTL Responsible for:

- Project Management on behalf of ESA
- System Design lead
- Mentoring/guidance for student teams
- Builds on MoonLITE and Chandrayaan-1 experience
Conclusions?

micro / mini-satellites have successful business model – but it took almost 20 years to establish!

Capabilities primarily constrained by technology development

Nano-satellites are still in their infancy and the business model constrained by laws of physics rather than technology at present

Needs some innovative ‘out-of-the-box’ service-level applications/business model ideas
Arigato!

Small satellites
‘Changing the Economics of Space’...